

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/905,017	07/13/2001	Fred T. Parker	PA-5262-RFB	PA-5262-RFB 2502	
7	7590 12/13/2004		EXAMINER		
BRINKS HOFER GILSON AND LIONE ONE INDIANA SQUAR, SUITE 1600			MAIORINO, ROZ		
	A SQUAR, SUITE 160 JS. IN 46204	ADTIBUT DADED NUMBER		PAPER NUMBER	
	·,· ·- <del>-</del> -,		3763		

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1 0/
Advisory Action	09/905,017	PARKER ET AL.	4/1
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Roz Maiorino	3763	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 27 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearamentation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in the contract which is a supplication with the contract with the c	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three move earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	ension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) \( \square\) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);	
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
<ul><li>(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	implifying the
(d)  they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	d amendment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request fo application in condition for allowance because: Se		sidered but does NC	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·	
10. Other:			
		RM VM	

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant questions examiner's motivation of combining Parker and Samson I, however as the applicant himself as agreed the Samson I teaches a catheter with a braid and a coil and the braid will provide some support to the device which is the motivation the examiner has used to combine the two applications. furthermore In re Gazda, 219 F2d 449, 452, 104 USPQ 400, 402 (CCPA 1955) a modification of reversing part has been recognized to have been obviouse to one ordinary skill in the art.. As mention earlier the applicant himself agrees that Samson teaches the applicant's invention however the arrangment of the coil and braid is in reverse of the applicants. hence all the applicant has done is to reverse parts (coil and braid) in Samson.

NICHOLAS D. LUCCHESI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700